From:	William Stewart
To:	Borras, Thembi@BOF
Subject:	suggested addition for the Oct 2015 TRA2 on cumulative effects
Date:	Wednesday, November 04, 2015 3:35:55 PM
Attachments:	October FPC 2015 TRA2 Plead WCS.docx bergman_2014_woodproducts.pdf

---

Thembi,

On page 25, I would suggest adding the clause below to 4th clause in the list of 5 things that the GHG model should cover. I presume that the BOF considers wood chips that go to energy plants to be a product, albeit one that is immediately turned into renewable energy. Adding 'substitution benefits' is a simple way to consider the benefits of burning less fossil fuel. I am attaching a recent article from the Forest Products Journal that provides detail on substitution benefits of various products.

- Emissions and storage associated with life cycle of harvested wood products, including production related emissions and substitution benefits of using wood products rather than common fossil-fuel intensive substitutes

Bill Stewart
Forestry Specialist
Co-Director, Center for Forestry & Center for Fire Research and Outreach
University of California, Berkeley
130 Mulford Hall, MC #3114
Berkeley, CA 94720-3114
(510) 643-3130 office

FPC 3.1