These four documents were prepared by the Department of Forestry and Fire Protection (CDF) and the Department of Fish and Game (DFG) to provide the Board with a current comprehensive package of regulatory changes proposed to “harmonize” the current Forest Practice Rules (FPRs) with a process for addressing the incidental take of Coho Salmon during timber harvesting operations. Additions to the existing FPRs have been indicated with underlined text (i.e. *text*). Deletions from the existing FPRs have been indicated with strikeout text (i.e. *text*). Significant changes that have been included in this draft, but were not indicated in the previous drafts presented to the BOF have been highlighted (i.e. *text*) so that they can be quickly and easily identified. Comments have been provided in the right hand margin to briefly describe the recent changes. This format allows reviewers to reference page numbers and line numbers when presenting comments to the BOF.

1) **DRAFT for Special Board Meeting (Existing v. 12/20/06 – All Changes)**

This document compares all the currently proposed changes to the existing rules in a manner consistent with the requirements of the Administrative Procedures Act (APA).

2) **Matrix to Denote Rule Sections That Have Been Relocated for Clarity**

This document provides a comprehensive “crosswalk” allowing quick identification and location by page and line number of sections that were existing regulations under the Forest Practice Rules (FPRs), but were moved or relocated in the *Draft for Special Board Meeting – 12/20/06* for clarity. This document relies on the document entitled *Existing Rules Comparison* as the template for the comparison.

3) **Existing Rules Comparison (Existing Rules v. 12/20/06 – Substantive Changes)**

This document compares the currently proposed substantive changes to the existing rules. This comparison document is intended to focus on those substantive changes to the rules proposed in the *DRAFT for Special Board Meeting – 12/20/06*.

Only the substantive additions to the existing FPRs have been indicated with underlined text (i.e. *text*). Substantive deletions have been indicated with strikeout text (i.e. *text*). Significant changes that have been included in this draft, but were not indicated in the previous draft presented to the BOF (11/30/06) have been highlighted (i.e. *text*). This document relies on the document entitled *Existing Rules Comparison* as the template for the comparison and is intended to be used in concert with the “matrix”.

It is important to note that only substantive changes have been indicated in this comparison. Those sections of the rules that have only been moved or relocated are not indicated by underline or strikeout.

4) **Previous Proposals Comparison (08/30/06 v. 12/20/06 – Substantive Changes)**

This document compares the previously proposed substantive changes to the changes proposed in the *DRAFT for Special Board Meeting – 12/20/06*. Significant changes that have been included in this draft, but were not indicated in the previous draft presented to the BOF (11/30/06) have been highlighted (i.e. *text*). This document is intended to paint a “clear and concise” picture of what substantive changes have been proposed since the original 8/30/06 proposal was presented to the Board. Those sections of the rules that have only been moved or relocated are not indicated by underline or strikeout.