

RANKING SCORES:

Ranking: 1–5	Ranking: 1–5 (x2)	Ranking: 1–5	Ranking: 1–5	Total (out of 25)
--------------	----------------------	--------------	--------------	----------------------

Project Number	Project Title	Critical Question	Scientific Uncertainty	Geographic Application	Collaboration & Feasibility	Overall Ranking	EMC Funding Request	Comments
EMC-2023-001	Climate-Adaptive Post-Fire Oak Restoration through Upslope Migration and Seed Provenance in the Angeles National Forest						\$ 220,226.04	
EMC-2023-002	Assessing Fire Hazard, Risk, and Post Fire Recovery for Watercourse and Lake Protection Zones and riparian areas of California						\$ 57,625.00	
EMC-2023-003	Pre- and Post-Harvest Fuel Loads and Implications for Site Productivity						\$ 244,328.00	
EMC-2023-004	Pre- and Post-Harvest Fuel Loads and Implications for Site Productivity						\$ 115,122.00	

- **Critical Question(s)** Proposed monitoring project addresses one or more EMC critical monitoring questions with appropriate study design and experimental methods.
- **Scientific Uncertainty** Current scientific understanding is not well-studied or validated. This ranking is weighed twice (2 times) the weight of other rankings.
- **Geographic Application** Critical question and proposed project has broad geographic application.
- **Collaboration & Feasibility** Number of active contributing *collaborators* relative to the monitoring subject. Consider the magnitude and expertise of the collaborators. *Feasibility* of monitoring project to meet stated goals and objectives within expected budget and timelines needed by the EMC, Board or stakeholders.

On a scale of 1 to 5, reviewers should refer to the following guidance when reviewing and ranking a proposal:

1 = Does not meet any portion of the Ranking
 2 = Does not meet key portions of the Ranking

3 = May meet some portions of the Ranking, either key or ancillary
 4 = Meets key portions of the Ranking and does not address ancillary portions
 5 = Meets all portions of the Ranking

